

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr.No.107

CRR No.681 of 2021

Date of Decision: 12th July, 2021.

Anil Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Union Territory, Chandigarh & Another

...Respondents

(Heard through Video-Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Mr. Shokeen Singh Verma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

* * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition while submitting that the petitioner intends to avail the alternative efficacious legal remedy, if any, permissible to him to seek redressal of his grievance as canvassed in this petition.

Mr. Anil Kumar Lamdaria, learned Additional Public Prosecutor, UT, Chandigarh, has joined the proceedings in pursuance of the copy of this petition having been sent to the respondent-UT, in advance. He has no objection in the withdrawal of this petition.

Accordingly, the petition in hand stands dismissed for having been withdrawn.

**(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE**

12.07.2021.

seema

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether Reportable?	Yes/No