

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(Sr. No. 209)

CRM-M No. 44214 of 2018

Date of decision : 01.09.2021

Amandeep Singh Khehra

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present : Mr. Rahul Singh Birdi, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Jagmohan S. Ghumman, DAG, Punjab.
Mr. A. S. Barnala, Advocate for respondent No.2.
Mr. Parampreet Singh Paul, Advocate for respondent No.3.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing.

The present petition has been filed by the petitioner/
complainant under Section 439(2) Cr.P.C. for cancellation of bail granted to
respondents No.2 and 3 in FIR No.90 dated 23.08.2018 registered under
Sections 457, 380, 448, 427 IPC at Police Station Raikot, district Ludhiana.

After filing of the present petition, the investigating agency
filed a report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. before the Trial Court through
which they accused respondents No.2 & 3 for having committed offences
punishable under Sections 448, 427 and 201 IPC and on the basis thereof
the Trial Court has also charged them for the aforesaid offences.

Since the above offences are bailable offences, the present petition has been rendered infructuous and is disposed of as such.

To allay the apprehensions expressed by learned counsel for the petitioner, it is clarified that the passing of this order would not preclude the petitioner from availing of his remedy, if any, against the aforesaid order of the Trial Court through which respondents No.2 and 3 have been charged, in accordance with law.

01.09.2021

sunil yadav

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No