

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr.No.114

CRWP No.6270 of 2021

Date of Decision: 08th July, 2021.

Sonia

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & Others

...Respondents

(Heard through Video-Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Mr. Sunil Kumar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

* * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

By way of the instant petition, the petitioner seeks the indulgence of this Court for the issuance of a direction to the official respondents, i.e respondents No.1 to 3, to protect her as well as her friend's (Gurvinder Singh's) lives and liberty as she apprehends threat to the same at the hands of respondents No.4 to 7, who happen to be her husband, mother-in-law and their associates respectively, while averring that respondent No.4 is staying abroad for the last two years and she was being harassed and maltreated by the family members of her husband and therefore, she left her matrimonial home along-with her minor daughter and since her parents also did not support her, therefore, she has started residing with her afore-named friend. It has also been mentioned in this petition that a representation (Annexure P-2) has already been moved to respondent No.2 in this regard.

Mr. S.S.Pannu, learned Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, has joined the proceedings on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 in this case in

pursuance of the copies of this petition having been sent to the respondent-State in advance.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner restricts his prayer to the issuance of a direction to respondent No.2 to look into and to take appropriate action on the said representation of the petitioner, i.e Annexure P-2.

Learned counsel for the State has no objection for the same.

Keeping in view the above-discussed limited prayer as made by learned counsel for the petitioner and without commenting or expressing any opinion on the merits of the matter in hand, respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Kaithal, is hereby directed to look into the said representation of the petitioner (Annexure P-2) and if it is found that the petitioner and her above-named friend genuinely deserve any protection, then to take appropriate action in accordance with law.

It is further clarified that this order shall not be construed to be a shield to the petitioner or her friend (Gurvinder Singh) against any proceedings already initiated or intended/contemplated to be initiated by the competent authority/person on account of the afore-narrated facts and circumstances and permissible under any relevant provisions of law.

This petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

08.07.2021.
seema

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether Reportable?	Yes/No