

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-26117 of 2021
Date of Decision: 09.07.2021

Davinder Kumar

.....Petitioner

Vs

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Kamal Narula, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Petitioner seeks issuance of necessary directions to official respondents No.2 and 3 for taking legal action against the private respondents No.4 to 8.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is a car driver and on 18.03.2021 when he was on the way to the house of Bhim Sain, the private respondents caught hold him on the way and gave injuries to him and his mother. Being MLC case, police was called in the hospital. The statement of the petitioner was recorded. According to the petitioner, statement (Annexure P-2) was recorded by the police

in the hospital, but no action was taken by the police in the context of registration of any case. In the occurrence, mother of the petitioner was also given injuries and her earnings worth 6 grams in weight were snatched along with Rs.7700/- from the pocket of the petitioner.

The petitioner has relied upon MLR prepared in Civil Hospital Abohar Fazilka in respect of injuries received by him and his mother.

Owing to inaction on behalf of respondent No.3, the petitioner filed representation before the respondent No.2 on 23.03.2021 (wrongly typed as 24.03.2021 in the translated copy of Annexure P-3) but no action has been taken on the said representation. The petitioner has specifically mentioned that the Police Chowki Incharge of Kalar Khera has not taken any action on the statement of the petitioner, when he remained admitted in Civil Hospital Abohar from 18.03.2021 to 23.03.2021.

Notice of motion to respondents No.2 & 3 at this stage.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.2 and 3.

In view of nature of order which this Court proposes

to pass, there is no necessity of calling upon any response from the respondents, as no order prejudicial to the interest of any party is being passed.

This petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to have a fair look on the representation of the petitioner dated 23.03.2021 and decide the same in accordance with law, without being influenced by any statement of fact, preferably within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

09.07.2021 manju	(RAJ MOHAN SINGH) JUDGE
----------------------------	--

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
---------------------------	--------

Whether reportable	Yes/No
--------------------	--------