

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

208

CRM-M-26082-2021

Date of Decision: 25.11.2021

Reena Denial ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Keshav Pratap Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Sheenu Sura, DAG, Haryana,
assisted by SI Gulabh Singh.

(proceedings conducted through video conferencing)

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has approached this Court seeking grant of anticipatory bail in respect of a case registered against her vide FIR No.9 dated 03.01.2020 at Police Station City Thanesar, District Kurukshetra, under Section 420 IPC and Section 10 of the Immigration Act.
2. At the time of issuance of notice of motion on 08.07.2021, the following order was passed:

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that as per the prosecution Bakshish and Satywan had deprived the complainant of an amount of Rs.21 lakhs out of which an amount of Rs.20 lakhs was paid to Satywan on 25.6.2019.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that she is nowhere named in the FIR and it was only after about one year of lodging of the FIR i.e on 20.6.2020 that a disclosure statement of Satywan was recorded wherein he alleged that an amount of

Rs.13 lakhs had been entrusted to the petitioner on the asking of her husband Ajay Denial. It has been submitted that said Ajay Denial has already been granted anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 16.9.2020 (Annexure P-1).

Notice of motion for 25.11.2021.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest, the petitioner be released on interim bail subject to her furnishing personal bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and cooperate with the Arresting/Investigating Officer and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.”

3. Learned State counsel upon instructions has informed that pursuant to interim directions issued by this Court, the petitioner has since joined investigation and is not required for any custodial interrogation and that she is not wanted in any other case.
4. Having regard to the aforestated position, wherein the petitioner is stated to have joined investigation, the petition is accepted and the interim directions issued by this Court vide order dated 08.07.2021 are hereby made absolute subject to the condition that the petitioner shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so and cooperate with the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

25.11.2021

Vimal

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No