

CRM-M-26267 of 2021

Date of Decision: 12.07.2021

Bal Krishan

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURIPresent: Mr. G. L. Bajaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Through Video Conferencing**JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J.(ORAL)**

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of Code of Criminal Procedure for issuance of an appropriate order or directions to the official respondents, to decide the representation/complaint dated 17.02.2021 (Annexure P-4), submitted by the petitioner and for taking appropriate action in accordance with law.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has submitted the representation to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Jalandhar (Rural) for taking appropriate action against Shivrani w/o Ram Saran, Balvir Kumar son of Dablu and Jasbir Kumar son of Dablu.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that on 20.05.2020, when his mother was sitting in his room when suddenly Balvir Kumar and Jasbir Kumar, (respondents No.6 and 7) entered the house having iron rods in their hands and Shivrani raised a lalkara asking them to catch hold of the petitioner and teach him a lesson and then she forcibly held the petitioner with her hands, after which Balvir Kumar, respondent No.6 gave an iron rod blow to the petitioner which hit left foot of the petitioner and when he fell down on the floor, Jasbir Kumar, respondent No.7, gave iron rod blow which hit the left shoulder of the petitioner.

Thereafter, MLR of the petitioner was conducted on 20.05.2020 and five injuries were found sustained. It is further stated in the representation that Balvir Kumar and Jasbir Kumar are known as land grabbers in the locality and when they find any weak person, they take advantage of them and commit offence.

Learned counsel has further submitted that the petitioner has requested the official respondents including the SHO several times to take action, but no action has been taken despite the fact that the incident had taken place about one year ago. He submitted that as it was a case of cognizable offence, the police was duty bound to take further action in accordance with law as well as in terms of law laid down by Hon'ble Supreme Court.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 4 only.

Mr. Randhir Singh Thind, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents No.1 to 4 and states that he has no objection in case the representation (Annexure P-4) filed by the petitioner is considered by the concerned police officials in accordance with law.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

In view of the peculiar facts and circumstances of the present case, I am satisfied that the present petition can be disposed of at this stage. It is directed that respondent No.3 – Senior Superintendent of Police, Jalandhar (Rural) shall consider the representation (Annexure P-4) filed by the petitioner and take further appropriate steps in accordance with law. Let the needful be done within a period of four weeks from today on receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Disposed of, accordingly.

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

July 12, 2021
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No