

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-12187-2021

Date of decision : 09.07.2021

Jagdeep Singh

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Gopal Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, *inter alia*, is for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus for directing the respondents to call the petitioner for verification of documents/counselling and to consider him for the post of Pharmacist (Pharmacy Officer), pursuant to advertisement dated 04.08.2020 (P-1) and notice dated 23.06.2021 (P-9).

Learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, states that his client would be satisfied in case, representation dated 29.06.2021 (P-11) is considered and decided by passing a speaking order expeditiously.

Prayer seems to be justified.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Akshita Chauhan, AAG, Punjab, who is present through video-conference, accepts notice on behalf

of respondent No.1, whereas, Mr. Surinder Gandhi, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.2. Learned counsel for the respondents raise no objection against the innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioner.

In view of the consensus arrived at between both sides, but without going into the merits of the controversy, this writ petition is disposed off with a direction to respondent No.2, to consider and decide the aforesaid representation dated 29.06.2021 (P-11), in accordance with law by passing a speaking order, preferably within a period of 08 (eight) weeks from today.

09.07.2021
atulsethi

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No