

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

212

**CRM-M-26098-2021(O&M)
Date of Decision: 21.12.2021**

Deempi @ Dimpi

....Petitioner.

Versus

State of U.T. Chandigarh

....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA

Present: Mr. Abhishek Chha, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Vij, Additional P.P., U.T. Chandigarh.

Lalit Batra, J.(Oral)

This petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C has been moved for grant of regular bail to petitioner- **Deempi @ Dimpi** in case FIR No.166 dated 21.12.2019 under Section 392 IPC read with Section 34 IPC (Sections 379-B and 411 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Sarangpur, Chandigarh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that petitioner has no nexus whatsoever with the alleged offence. He further contends that petitioner was not named in the FIR. He further urges that petitioner is languishing in custody since 04.02.2021 and he is no more required by the Police for any investigation purpose as after completion of investigation, final report as envisaged under Section 173 Cr.P.C. (*Challan*) has already been presented in Court and trial has commenced. He further submits that since trial of the case would take sufficient time to conclude, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in custody further and he may be released on bail.

On the other hand, learned State counsel while opposing the cause of petitioner has vehemently argued that in view of seriousness of offence, petitioner does not deserve the concession of bail.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

At this stage, without commenting anything on the merits of the case lest it may prejudice the outcome of the trial but taking into consideration the fact that petitioner is languishing in custody since 04.02.2021; that petitioner is no more required by the Investigating Agency for investigation purpose; that *Challan* has already been presented in Court and since trial of the case would take sufficient time to conclude, no useful purpose would be served by detaining the petitioner in custody further, thus, he deserves the concession of bail.

In view of above, instant petition for grant of regular bail moved by petitioner- **Deempi @ Dimpi** is allowed and he is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Trial Court/ Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, Chandigarh, as the case may be.

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE

21.12.2021

d.gulati

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No

Whether Reportable : Yes/ No