

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.226

CRM-M-21395-2020

Date of decision: 31.08.2021

Vinod Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Rakesh Bakshi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Zorawar S. Chauhan, DAG, Haryana.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing.

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C.
for the grant of regular bail in FIR No.29 dated 13.02.2019 registered under
Sections 302/34 IPC at Police Station Mullana, District Ambala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that since in the
petitioner's trial 08 out of the 16 prosecution witnesses have already been
examined, at this stage, he would be satisfied if the present petition is
disposed of with issuance of directions to the State to produce all the
remaining prosecution witnesses before the Trial Court within three months
from the date next fixed before the Trial Court.

Half of the petitioner's trial is over. Only 08 prosecution
witnesses remain to be examined. He also has a right to a speedy trial.
Therefore, accepting the alternate prayer made on behalf of the petitioner, the
present petition is disposed of with a direction to the State to produce all the
remaining prosecution witnesses within three months from the next date

fixed before the Trial Court with a further direction to the Trial Court to make every endeavour to dispose of the petitioner's trial within four months from the next date fixed before it.

In case the petitioner's trial is not disposed of in the afore period and the delay in its disposal is not attributable to the petitioner, he would be at liberty to revive his prayer for grant of regular bail.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

August 31, 2021
Jyoti 1

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No