

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

(118)

**CWP No. 12089 of 2021  
Date of Decision : 15.07.2021**

**Ved Parkash**

**...Petitioner**

**Versus**

**CCS Haryana Agricultural University and others**

**...Respondents**

**(through video conferencing)**

***CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI***

Present: Mr. Jaspal Singh Maanipur, Advocate for the petitioner.  
Mr. Anil Mehta, Advocate for the respondent-University.  
Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

\*\*\*

**Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)**

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the claim of the petitioner for the grant of pension under the Old Pension Rules has been declined by the respondents vide impugned order dated 01.06.2021 (Annexure P-8) by totally misreading the judgment of this Court in CWP No. 2371 of 2010 titled as ***Harbans Lal Vs. State of Punjab and others***, decided on 31.08.2010.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Anil Mehta, Advocate, who has joined the proceedings through video conference, keeping in view the service of advance copy of petition, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-University. Learned counsel for the respondent-University very fairly submits that the impugned

order be treated as withdrawn and the respondents be given liberty to pass a fresh order on the claim of the petitioner in accordance with law.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that as the impugned order has been withdrawn, no further grievance of the petitioner survives, at this stage and the writ petition has become infructuous and the same may be disposed of as such.

Ordered accordingly.

Let a fresh order on the plea of the petitioner be passed by the respondents within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

**July 15, 2021**  
*kanchan*

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)**  
**JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No*

*Whether reportable : Yes/No*