

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.118

CWP No.12496 of 2021

DATE OF DECISION: July 13, 2021

PARVEEN AND ANOTHER

..PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Ravinder Singh Bassi, Advocate, for the petitioners.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners are aggrieved because respondent No.2 is allegedly carrying out construction activity on the land owned by them.

A perusal of the writ petition shows that a writ of mandamus has been prayed for without raising a demand. Thus, at the first instance, the petitioners are required to give a representation raising their grievance.

In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioners wishes to withdraw this petition with liberty to file a representation before respondent No.2. In case, such a representation/demand notice is filed within 07 days from today, respondent No.2 shall decide the same by passing a speaking order within three days of receipt of the notice.

The petition stands disposed of.

July 13, 2021

Ankur

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No