

COCP No.1322 of 2021

Date of decision: 10.09.2021

Manohra Devi

.... Petitioner

Versus

Ritu Bajaj and another

.... Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Jasbir Mor, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Neha Rana, Advocate for the respondents.
Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that vide order dated 17.11.2020 in CWP No.19478 of 2020, the respondents were directed to decide the representation as also the claim made in legal notice dated 03.09.2020 within stipulated period of time.

[2] Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents contends that the respondents have passed orders dated 08.09.2021 partially, allowing the claim as made by the petitioner.

[3] Order dated 08.09.2021 passed by the respondents is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to the learned counsel for the petitioner.

[4] Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in the circumstances, the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the contempt petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same with liberty to challenge order dated 08.09.2021 to the extent the same is against the interest of the petitioner by way of appropriate proceedings, in accordance with law.

[5] In view of order dated 08.09.2021, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, no further action is called for against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

[6] Accordingly the contempt petition is disposed of, as such, while granting liberty to the petitioner to challenge order dated 08.09.2021 by way of appropriate proceedings, in accordance with law to the extent the same is against the interest of the petitioner.

[7] Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

10.09.2021

'Rajneesh/Amit'

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No.
Whether reportable :	Yes/No.