

117

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No. 6242 of 2021
Date of Decision: 08.07.2021

Ravinder Singh and others

-Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

-Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. K.S. Kahlon, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video-conferencing.

Petitioners seek issuance of necessary directions to official respondents to protect their lives and personal liberties and also for investigation of complaint/representation dated 25.05.2021 filed before respondent No.2.

Petitioner No.1 was way laid by Mehakdeep Singh son-in-law of Indermohan Singh resident of Barrier when he was going to Batala. He has alleged that the assailants along with 20 unidentified persons came on different vehicles duly armed with weapons. Petitioner No.1 received many injuries and was medically examined. Injury No.1 was declared to be grievous

injury being fracture of left ulna. House of Indermohan Singh is situated opposite to house of the petitioners. Mehakdeep Singh is son-in-law of Indermohan Singh. While visiting the house of his father-in-law, Mehakdeep Singh used to hit the ramp of the petitioners with his vehicle, for which he was repeatedly asked not to do so, but there was a verbal dual between the parties, which ultimately resulted in keeping grievance by the accused party.

Petitioners have already approached the Station House Officer/respondent No.3 with a complaint dated 10.12.2020, but no action has been taken. Thereafter, the petitioners have moved a complaint/representation to respondent No.2 on 25.05.2021 and the same has not been adverted to till date.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Sandeep Kumar, D.A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

In view of nature of order which this Court proposes to pass, there is no necessity of calling upon any response from the respondents, as no order prejudicial to the interest of any party is being passed.

This petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to have a fair look on the representation of the

petitioners dated 25.05.2021 without being influenced by any statement of fact, preferably within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

08.07.2021

Jyoti Sharma

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No