

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

215

CRM-M-26129-2021 (O & M)

Date of Decision: July 16, 2021

**RAMANPREET KAUR @ RAMAN
AND ANOTHER**

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr.Vivek K. Thakur, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG, Punjab.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J (ORAL)

Case taken up through video conferencing.

The petitioners are seeking regular bail in FIR No.210 dated 28.08.2020, under Sections 302, 326, 323, 506, 427, 460, 148 and 149 IPC, registered at Police Station Sadar, District Kapurthala.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners are students and daughters of co-accused Harnek Singh. In the FIR, 07 persons have been named but injuries on the deceased are attributed to co-accused Harnek Singh, Avtar Singh and Jagtar Singh. The petitioners were alleged to be empty handed and are alleged to have given blows with brick bat to the injured. He also contends that the deceased Tarsem Lal had suffered simple injuries except one injury on the lower leg which was found to be grievous. He has been discharged. Tarsem Lal (deceased) was readmitted in the hospital later. The report of the Pathologist indicates that the heart of the deceased had abnormalities and features were consistent with Ischemic Heart Disease causing myocardial infraction. Petitioner No.1 is 23 year of age and petitioner No.2 is 21 year of age. They are in custody for 02 months.

Learned State counsel has filed custody certificate which indicates that the petitioners are in custody for 02 months. He further contends that the allegations against the petitioners are serious and they are alleged to have pelted stones at the deceased and injured. They had earlier been declared proclaimed offenders and had been arrested subsequently. He upon instructions from Sub Inspector Jaswant Singh states that the challan has been filed but no prosecution witness has been examined.

Heard.

In view of the above, especially when the petitioners are young ladies, who are studying in college, their father is in custody, they are in custody for 02 months, Covid 19 pandemic and the conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioners.

Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed. The petitioners are ordered to be released on regular bail on their furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

July 16, 2021
A.Kaundal

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No