

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

267

CRM-M-26497-2021

Date of decision : 26.08.2021.

Lovepreet Singh @ Shero and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Amit Arora, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Jagjit Singh, Advocate for respondents No.2 and 3.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioners are seeking quashing of FIR No.29 dated 20.02.2020, under Sections 379-B, 341, 34 IPC registered at Police Station Goindwal Sahib, District Tarn Taran, on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2), which has been arrived at between the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that it is alleged in the FIR that purse was snatched from the pocket of the complainant which contained ₹11,000/- and other documents including driving license, aadhar card etc. He also contends that the petitioners were arraigned as accused on the basis of mere suspicion and misunderstanding which has now been resolved and the matter has been compromised. He has referred to the copy of the compromise at Annexure P-2. He also contends that the petitioners are not involved in any other criminal case.

Learned counsel for respondents No.2 and 3 states that the matter has indeed been compromised.

This Court vide order dated 13.07.2021 had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court for recording their statements and send a report as to whether the compromise has been arrived at without any coercion or undue influence. The report of the District and Sessions Judge, Tarn Taran dated 27.07.2021 has been received, wherein it is stated that in pursuance to the order of this Court, the statements of the parties were recorded which indicates that compromise which has been effected is genuine, voluntary and without undue influence.

The FIR is outcome of a misunderstanding which has now been resolved and the matter has been compromised. In view of the law laid down by the Supreme Court in the case of **Narinder Singh vs. State of Punjab 2014 (6) SCC 466**, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the criminal proceedings. Therefore, the petition is allowed and FIR No.29 dated 20.02.2020, under Sections 379-B, 341, 34 IPC registered at Police Station Goindwal Sahib, District Tarn Taran and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

August 26, 2021
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No