

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

114

CRWP-6207-2021

Date of Decision: 08.07.2021

Parminder Kaur and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA

Present: Ms. Poonam Verma, Advocate for petitioners.

Lalit Batra, J.(Oral)

Case has been taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing.

Learned counsel for the petitioners at the very outset submits that due to typographical mistake, particulars of petitioner No.1 in the title of petition have been wrongly described as 'Parminder Kaur w/o Rajinder Singh d/o Darshan Singh', whereas it should be read as 'Parminder Kaur d/o Darshan Singh'.

Ordered Accordingly.

This is a petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India read with Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking issuance of a writ especially in the nature of Mandamus directing respondents No.1 to 4 to protect life and liberty of petitioners at the hands of private respondents No.5 and 6.

Petitioners have sought protection of their life and liberty, *inter alia*, taking the plea that they are in live-in relationship against the wishes of private respondents and now they are apprehending danger to their life and liberty from private respondents.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 4 only.

At the asking of Court, Mr. Mehardeep Singh, Additional Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4. At this juncture, Mr. Sunny Kumar Singla, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of private respondent No.5. Complete copies of paper book be supplied to them during course of the day.

Having considered the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners and perusal of record, respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala, is directed to consider the representation dated 05.07.2021 (Annexure P/4) and issue necessary orders, as warranted by law, so as to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents.

However, it is also made clear that this order shall not be taken to validate the alleged live-in relation of petitioners or entitle them for any protection against their arrest or continuance of any criminal proceedings, if they are found involved in the commission of any cognizable offence. In case, the petitioners had committed any offence, the law will take its own course.

With the above said observations made and directions issued, the present petition stands disposed of.

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE

08.07.2021

Varinder Prashad

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No
Whether Reportable : Yes/ No