

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

121

CRM-M-28246-2021

Date of Decision : 07.12.2021

Jagdish Singh and others

..... Petitioners

vs

State of Punjab and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr.Deepak Verma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rana Harjasdeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Shubhashish Kukreti, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 4.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH (Oral)

By this petition, the petitioners seek quashing of *Rapat* no.18/20 dated 26.04.1993, alleging therein commission of offences punishable under Sections 364/148/149 of IPC, (allegedly prepared on the basis of FIR No.28, registered at Police Station Mahilpur, District Hoshiarpur), as also all other subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of a compromise (Annexure P-3), arrived at between the petitioners and respondents no.2 to 5.

On 3.11.2021, the following order had been recorded by this Court :-

“The reply filed on behalf of respondent-State, dated 30.10.2021, is ordered to be taken on record.

It has been stated therein that respondent no.5, Paramjit Kaur, was found to have left her house of her own wish and went to the house of one Bela Singh in the year 1993, with her having changed her name from Paramjit Kaur to Jaswinder Kaur and with her having solemnised a marriage with Gurdev Singh of District Una (HP), she also having had three sons from the marriage, but with her having died on 01.01.2020.

It has also been stated in paragraph 1 of the reply on merits that a cancellation report has been prepared and put up to the competent police officer for approval, to be subsequently submitted to the competent court.

That being so, adjourned to 07.12.2021.

An affidavit be filed by a gazetted officer as to whether the cancellation report has been submitted to the competent court, and if not, the reason thereof.

To be shown in the urgent motion list. In the aforesaid circumstances, interim order to continue.”

Today, an affidavit dated 01.12.2021, executed by the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub-Division Garhshankar, has been filed in court by the learned State counsel, which is ordered to be taken on record.

Other than reiterating what was already recorded in the aforesaid order of this court, it has been stated therein that a cancellation report has been submitted to the competent court at Garhshankar on 11.11.2021, with the said cancellation report accepted.

That being so, the petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous, even on the statement of learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for respondents no.2 to 4, that the cancellation report has been submitted to the competent court, which has accepted the said report, on a statement made by the son of the complainant, (the complainant having already died).

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

07.12.2021
anju

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No