

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-26637-2021
Date of decision : 24.09.2021**

ANJU @ POOJA

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. D.S. Virk, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pardeep Prakash Chahar, DAG, Haryana.

-*-

HARNARESH SINGH GILL , J (ORAL)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Status report by way of affidavit dated 18.08.2021 of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Hqrs, Hisar, District Hisar, filed on behalf of respondent-State, through e-mail, is taken on record.

Prayer in this petition is for grant of regular bail in case FIR No. 182 dated 24.04.2020 under Sections 386, 389, 120B IPC, registered at Police Station Azad Nagar, Hisar, District Hisar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner

has been falsely implicated in the present case on the statement of the complainant-Vinod Saini who is stated to be an employee of Police Department.

It is alleged that the complainant had received a mobile call from an unknown lady who had made a request for entering into a friendship with her. He submits that the petitioner along with the co-accused is alleged to have hatched a criminal conspiracy to extract money from the complainant.

He further submits that Suman @ Meera, Vikram, Sudesh @ Darshana, Rajender have already been granted concession of bail by the Coordinate Benches of this Court. It is also submitted by the counsel for the petitioner that as far as the other pending cases are concerned, the petitioner has been acquitted in 03 cases and is in under trial in FIR No. 262 dated 19.07.2019 under Section 174-A IPC.

Per contra, while opposing the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, learned State counsel does not dispute the fact that the co-accused have already been granted the benefit of bail by the Coordinate Benches and the fact that the petitioner has been in custody since 22.02.2021. It is also submitted that the *challan* has been presented but charges are yet to be framed.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner has been in custody since 22.02.2021. Trial of the case would take time to conclude. Therefore, no useful purpose would be served by keeping in petitioner behind the bars. Moreover, co-accused of the petitioner have already been granted bail.

In view of the above, without commenting anything on the

merits, lest it should prejudice the case of either side, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on her furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

24.09.2021
tarun

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No