

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CM-5779-CII-2021 and
Civil Revision-1300-2021
Date of decision: - 20.07.2021**

Bimla Devi

....Petitioner

Versus

Sunita Sharma and another

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. U.K. Agnihotri, Advocate
for the applicant-petitioner.

(Through Video Conferencing)

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the applicant-petitioner submits that as the stay application has not been finally decided and the impugned order dated 22.06.2021 (Annexure P-1) in the present revision petition is only an interim order, the applicant-petitioner be given a liberty to approach the trial Court so as to raise all the arguments being raised by the applicant-petitioner before this Court in this revision petition, for consideration of the trial Court for deciding the application filed by the petitioner seeking an interim order against the respondents, who are constructing the building on the land, which according to the petitioner is in possession of the applicant-petitioner.

Ordered accordingly.

As the present revision petition involves the question of possession and the construction being raised on the plot in dispute, it is expected that the trial Court will decide the application, which has been filed by the petitioner for the grant of interim order expeditiously by following due process of law.

July 20, 2021
naresh.k

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes
Whether reportable?	No