

Through Video Conferencing

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-26080-2021
Date of decision: 10.09.2021**

Gurcharan Singh

....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: - HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL.

Present: Mr. D.K. Prajapati, Advocate, for
Mr. Anil Kumar Spehia, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. C.L. Pawar, Senior Deputy Advocate General,
Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This is a petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. for issuance of directions to respondents No. 1 to 3 to take appropriate action on the representation of the petitioner dated 09.06.2021 (Annexure P-1).

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that even as per the status report filed by way of an affidavit of Rajbir Singh, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Valtoha Camp at Bhikhiwind, District Tarn Taran, on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 4 in *paragraph 9*, it has been submitted that the inquiry in the present case is still going on and the local police is verifying as to

why and where the wife of the deceased namely Sukhdeep Kaur has gone after the death of the deceased Jugraj Singh. *Paragraph 9* of the status report is reproduced as under: -

'That it is respectfully submitted that the inquiry in the present case is still going on and the local police is verifying that as to why and where the wife of the deceased namely Sukhdeep Singh has gone after the death of deceased Jugraj Singh. Further the police is also verifying the fact that where the motor cycle has gone and why the police was told that it has been taken away by the IndusInd Bank. That all these facts are being verified and a detailed inquiry is being conducted in the present case and the same will be concluded within a short period of time. That it is also important to mention here that the relatives/family of the deceased Jugraj Singh as well as the petitioner are not cooperating with the police because of which the inquiry in the present case is taking time'.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that he would be satisfied that in case the inquiry officer who is inquiring into the said aspect finishes the inquiry expeditiously and in a time bound manner.

Learned State counsel has pointed out that in fact in the present case, the statement of the wife of the deceased was recorded and as per her statement she had stated that she had no doubt on any person and her husband had died because of motor cycle had fallen in the water. Reference has been made to the said statement dated 24.08.2021 (R-2/T).

Be that as it may, without commenting on the merits of the case since, as per the affidavit filed by the Deputy Superintendent of Police, inquiry as stated in *paragraph 9* is pending, thus Inquiry

Officer is directed to complete the inquiry as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of three months.

Disposed of with the said observations.

Nothing stated above shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

10.09.2021
preeti

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No