

In virtual Court

CRM-M-25700-2021

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-25700-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision: 07.07.2021

Ashok Vaid

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Kushagra Mahajan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Kumar Grewal, DAG, Haryana.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.165 dated 30.07.2020 under Section 406, 420, 120-B IPC and Section 201 IPC (added later on), registered at Police Station Ismailabad, District Kurukshetra.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the FIR was registered on the statement of complainant Gurdeep Singh, stating that he is

doing job in Jio Telecom Company and about 06 months ago, he was introduced to one Ladi @ Ladu @ Avtar Singh, who told him that if he give him currency notes of 500 or 2000, he will return the currency notes of Rs.100 each and in case the complainant give him Rs.1.00 lac, he will return Rs.1,10,000/-. Thereafter, the complainant borrowed Rs.1.00 lac from son of her maternal aunt namely Hardeep Singh and withdrew another amount from the bank. Thereafter, he called Ladi @ Ladu @ Avtar Singh on his mobile phone and both of them met at Ismailabad and after some time, the amount was exchanged between them and Rs.2.00 lacs were kept in a bag. The complainant was told to drop him at Hisar Bye-pass road. When said Ladi @ Ladu @ Avtar Singh went towards Hisar Bye-pass road, a car came, from which two persons came out, out of which one person was wearing police uniform and another tied his mouth with cloth and forcibly took away Ladi @ Ladu @ Avtar Singh in the car and drove it towards Pehowa side. It is further stated that Ladi @ Ladu @ Avtar Singh along with five other persons have cheated him. It is further submitted that the petitioner was not named in the FIR and after arrest of co-accused, his name surfaced in the disclosure statement.

Learned State counsel has, however, opposed the prayer for bail on the ground that the petitioner is involved in six more FIRs and he is a person with criminal bent of mind.

In reply, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is on bail in those cases.

In virtual Court

CRM-M-25700-2021

-3-

After hearing learned counsel for the parties and looking into allegations levelled in the FIR and antecedents of the petitioner, I find no ground to grant him the concession of anticipatory bail.

Dismissed.

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

07.07.2021
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No