

COCP-1318-2021

Date of Decision: 09.09.2021.

Tara Rani

...Petitioner

vs.

J. Ganesan, IAS

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. Anand Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.
2. Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 for intentional and willful defiance of order dated 08.02.2021 in CWP No.2482 of 2021.
3. Learned counsel contends that vide order Annexure P-1 dated 08.02.2021 in CWP No.2482 of 2021 the respondent was directed to consider the claim made in the demand notice dated 01.01.2021 and decide the same in accordance with law within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order and on account of failure of the respondent to do the needful, the instant petition was filed but now order dated 25.08.2021 has been passed granting the relief to the petitioner as per her entitlement, therefore, the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the contempt petition and the same may be disposed of as such.
4. Learned State counsel, contends that in view of order dated 08.02.2021 having been complied with and the petitioner having been granted relief as per her entitlement, no action be taken under the Contempt

of Courts Act, 1971 against the respondent.

5. I have considered the submissions of learned counsel for the parties.

6. In view of the position noted above, relief having been granted to the petitioner pursuant to the decision of claim in terms of order dated 08.02.2021, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, no action under Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 is called for against the respondent.

7. Accordingly, the contempt petition is disposed of as such.

8. Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

09.09.2021.

'Rajesh'

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No