

NIRMAL SINGH AND OTHERS

Versus

...Respondent

Present : Ms. Vaishali Kamboj, Advocate for the petitioners.

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

2. Prayer in the petition under Sections 10 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 read with Article 215 of the Constitution of India is for initiating action against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order dated 07.04.2021 in CWP No. 7793 of 2021.

3. Learned counsel contends that vide order Annexure P-1 dated 07.04.2021, CWP No. 7793 of 2021, was disposed of by taking into account the stand of the learned state counsel that the respondent authority would consider representation dated 04.03.2021 submitted by the petitioners and take a decision on the same expeditiously as early as possible and now the respondents have passed order dated 05.07.2021 in terms of order dated 07.04.2021 in CWP No. 7793 of 2021, therefore no orders are called for in the contempt petition and the same may be disposed of as such while granting liberty to the petitioners to challenge order dated 05.07.2021 by

way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

4. In view of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, no action is called for under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 against the respondents. Accordingly, the Contempt petition is disposed of as such while granting liberty to the petitioners to challenge order 05.07.2021 by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

26.07.2021
renu

[B.S.Walia]
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.
Whether reportable : Yes/No.