

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-11974-2021

Date of Decision: July 07, 2021

Sukhwinder Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

Financial Commissioner, Revenue, Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. H.S. Batth, Advocate
for petitioner

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is aggrieved by order dated 28.04.2021 (Annexure P-6) whereby learned Financial Commissioner allowed the appeal filed by respondent No. 4 and set aside the order of the Commissioner, Patiala thereby restoring the order of the District Collector, Sangrur. Vide order of the Collector, respondent No. 4 had been appointed as Lambardar. The petitioner and another challenged the said order before the Commissioner and the said appeals were allowed by keeping in view the comparative merits of the candidates.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that respondent No. 4 filed a single appeal against the order of the Commissioner whereas the learned Commissioner had disposed of two appeals vide his order dated 05.02.2021. Thus, the second order is still alive and unless and until the same is set aside, order dated 28.04.2021 of the learned Financial Commissioner can not be given effect to.

The argument is misconceived. A perusal of order dated 05.02.2021 shows that the appeal filed by the petitioner was allowed whereas that filed by Charanjit Singh (respondent No. 5) was dismissed. Thus, respondent No. 4 could have filed only one appeal against order of the Commissioner.

No other argument on merits of the case has been raised. Thus, the writ petition has no merit and is dismissed.

July 07, 2021
reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No