

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

203

CWP No.13109 of 2021
DATE OF DECISION : 23rd AUGUST, 2021

Monu

.... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & others

.... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

=====

In virtual Court

=====

Present : Mr. Ram Pal Verma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Sangeeta Srivastava, Advocate
for respondents No.1 & 2.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

* * * *

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (Oral)

This is a petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the result of Stage-II, for selection of Indian Coast Guard, whereby the petitioner was not allowed for Stage-III at the time of documents verification on the basis of validity of Other Backward Class Certificate (Annexure P-4) of the petitioner by orally saying that it has been issued by Haryana Government, however all the OBC certificates are being issued by the State of Haryana-respondent No.3.

The respondents No.1 and 2 has filed written statement, which is ordered to be taken on record. The counsel for the petitioner has also received copy of the same.

It has been submitted by counsel for respondents No.1 and 2; and has been so pleaded in the written statement as well, that the petitioner filed the present petition on a wrong assumption that validity of his OBC certificate was doubted by the respondents. It was not so. Rather, the candidature of the petitioner was held up on account of a *bonafide* mistake qua the percentage of marks secured by the petitioner. However, on reconsideration the said *bonafide* mistake has been corrected and the petitioner has been found eligible to cross over the Stage-III of the selection in question. The necessary admit card has been issued to the petitioner to permit him to participate in further process of the selection.

The counsel for the petitioner has also not disputed this factual situation. The counsel for the petitioner has also submitted that since his grievance has been redressed, therefore, the present petition be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

In view of the above, the petition stands disposed of as infructuous.

23rd AUGUST, 2021
'raj'

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>