

COCP No.1299 of 2021

Date of decision: 10.09.2021

Kul Prasad

.... Petitioner

Versus

Anand Mohan Sharan and others

.... Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Sajjan Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the instant petition under Sections 11 & 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 read with Article 215 of the Constitution of India, is for initiating action against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order (Annexure P-1) dated 20.09.2019.

[2] Learned counsel states that the instant petition was filed on account of failure to comply with order (Annexure P-1) dated 20.09.2019, but after the filing of the instant petition, the aforementioned order has been complied with the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the same but prays that directions be issued to ensure timely compliance with orders of this Court dated 20.09.2019.

[3] Learned State Counsel assures that in future, salary would be paid to the petitioner as per his entitlement on time every month.

[4] The same satisfies learned counsel for the petitioner, who states that in the circumstances, the petitioner does not press the contempt petition and the same may be disposed of as not calling for further orders.

[5] In view of compliance of order (Annexure P-1) dated 20.09.2019, statement of learned counsel for the petitioner as also the assurance given by the learned State Counsel, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971. However, in case of repeat violation, serious view of the matter would be taken.

[6] *Rule discharged.*

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

10.09.2021
'Rajneesh/Amit'

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No.
Whether reportable :	Yes/No.