

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

204

**TA-582-2021(O&M)
Date of Order: 07.10.2021**

SUMAN

..Petitioner

Versus

MUKESH KUMAR AND ANR

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Patiyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vaneet Soni, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

The hearing of the case was held through video conferencing as prayed by the learned counsels.

This is an application filed by the wife of the respondent with a prayer to transfer the proceedings of the petition filed under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, bearing case No.HMA/261/2021, titled as “Mukesh Kumar Vs. Suman” pending in the Court of Family Court, Ambala, to the Court of competent jurisdiction at Chandigarh.

The petitioner claims that the respondent(husband) is already defending trial in a petition under Section 125 Cr.P.C., at Chandigarh.

Sh. Vaneet Soni, learned counsel representing the respondent submits that respondent No.1 is already taking care of two minor children apart from his aged mother. The learned counsel representing the respondent further submits that the distance between Ambala and Chandigarh is stated to 50 kms.

The learned counsel representing the petitioner submits that she feels apprehension from Sahil Gupta who has been impleaded as proforma respondent.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the petition is disposed of with a direction that as and when the petitioner is required to be appear in person, she shall have liberty to file an application to appear through video conferencing.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

October 07, 2021

Ay

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No