

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH
(through video conferencing)**

CRM-M-25378-2021
Decided on : 07.07.2021

Arpana

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & ors.

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Arpan Sabharwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Manjari Nehru Kaul, J.(Oral)

Prayer in the instant petition is for issuance of appropriate direction to respondent Nos.2 and 3 to take appropriate legal action on the representation dated 17.03.2021 (Annexure P-1) filed by the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner was cheated by respondent No.4, who took loan amounting to Rs.3,50,000/- on her name and also blackmailed the petitioner by threatening her to upload her objectionable videos on internet. Learned counsel further submits that despite representation dated 17.03.2021 (Annexure P-1) having been made to the authorities concerned, the official respondents had failed to proceed against the accused under the provisions of law. It has been submitted that the official respondents were under a legal obligation to pass an appropriate order on his representation.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

In the wake of the limited prayer of the petitioner, the

respondent No.2 i.e. Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar, is directed to look into her grievance and take appropriate steps, if any required, under the provisions of law. However, if respondent No.2 does not find the commission of any cognizable offence as alleged or any substance in the allegations levelled in the representation dated 17.03.2021 (Annexure P-1), then the petitioner shall be duly informed as per the parameters laid down by the Supreme Court in ***Lalita Kumari v. Government of Uttar Pradesh & Ors.*** 2013 (4) RCR (Criminal) 979.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

07.07.2021
sonia

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No