

249

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

\*\*\*\*

**CRM-M-25391-2021  
Date of Decision: 12.10.2021**

\*\*\*\*

Om Parkash

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA**

Present: Mr. Gautam Diwan, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupender Singh, DAG, Haryana,  
for respondent No.1/State.

Mr. Deepak K. Bartia, Advocate,  
for respondent No.2/Complainant.

**SUDIP AHLUWALIA J. (ORAL)**

In this petition, the petitioners, who is the accused in F.I.R No.168, dated 30.05.2020, under Sections 307 & 506 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 25 of the Arms Act (Section 27 of the Arms Act added later on), registered at Police Station Chandimandir, Panchkula, District Panchkula (Annexure P-1), has prayed for quashing of F.I.R. with all subsequent proceedings, on the basis of compromise.

[2]. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the complainant has arrived at a settlement with the accused vide Compromise Deed (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The District & Sessions Judge, Panchkula, vide

report dated 02.09.2021, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

[3]. Respondent No.2 is represented by his Counsel, who does not dispute the compromise.

[4]. In view of the report of the District & Sessions Judge, Panchkula, and in view of the decisions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **“Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543** and **“Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466**, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioner/accused.

[5]. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. F.I.R No.168, dated 30.05.2020, under Sections 307 & 506 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 25 of the Arms Act (Section 27 of the Arms Act added later on), registered at Police Station Chandimandir, Panchkula, District Panchkula (Annexure P-1), with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, is hereby quashed qua the present petitioner.

**12.10.2021**

*Bhumika*

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)  
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

2. Whether reportable: No