

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.11659 of 2021
Date of Decision:05.07.2021**

Shiv Gange Contractor

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: - Mr.Punit Malik, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

LISA GILL. J.

This matter is being taken up for hearing through video conferencing due to the outbreak of pandemic, COVID-19.

Grievance raised by the petitioner in this writ petition is that payment due to him on account of works duly completed has not been released without any rhyme or reason.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner was allotted the work as detailed in the writ petition and the same was completed to the satisfaction of the authorities. Representation dated 16.2.2021 was addressed to the Deputy Commissioner, Faridabad in this regard. It is further

submitted that at that point of time, Deputy Commissioner, Faridabad was holding the charge of post of Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Faridabad, therefore representation was addressed to him. However, now Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Faridabad has been appointed.

At oral request of learned counsel for the petitioner, Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Faridabad is impleaded as respondent No.6. Registry is directed to carry out necessary addition in the memo of parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that a comprehensive representation shall be submitted by the petitioner before the Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Faridabad in respect to his grievance, within one week from today. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner restricts the prayer for a decision on said representation in a time bound manner.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances as well as the limited prayer addressed, but without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority that in case a representation is submitted by the petitioner within one week, the same be decided, in accordance with law by passing a speaking order, within a period of two months thereof. In case any payment is found due towards the petitioner, same be released to him within six weeks thereafter.

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE

05.07.2021

Vivek

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No