

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-12157-2021

Date of Decision: 12.10.2021

Ram Kishan

. . . . Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and Others

. . . . Respondents

(Through Video Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE M.S. RAMACHANDRA RAO

Present: - Mr.Ravi Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr.Ashish Yadav, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

M.S. RAMACHANDRA RAO, J. (ORAL)

Heard counsel for the petitioner, Mr. Ravi Sharma and Mr.Ashish Yadav, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

It is the petitioner's contention in the writ petition that a conveyance deed in respect of a plot allotted to the petitioner way back in the year 1978 was not being registered and reasons for such non-registration were not being furnished though, the petitioner is in possession of the plot in dispute after its allotment.

The Addl. A.G, Haryana for the State of Haryana states that a legal notice had been issued by the petitioner's counsel, and that respondent No.3 will pass an appropriate order within a period of 2 weeks, from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, and if necessary call on the petitioner to submit any documents which are required to be submitted for taking appropriate decision in the matter.

Accordingly, writ petition is disposed of directing respondent No.3 to pass a reasoned order after considering the legal notice given by the petitioner’s counsel, in accordance with law, within a period 2 weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and decision of respondent No.3 shall be communicated to the petitioner.

(M.S. RAMACHANDRA RAO)
JUDGE

12.10.2021

Vivek

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No