

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

251/2

CRM-M-25832-2021

Date of decision : 20.08.2021.

Rahul and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Gaurav Mohunta, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Kirpal Singh Thakur, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Suman Jain, Advocate for respondents No.2 and 3.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioners are seeking quashing of FIR No.41 dated 12.02.2021 under Sections 148, 149, 323, 341, 506 IPC (Sections 307 and 325 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station City Safidon, District Jind, on the basis of compromise/affidavit (Annexure P-3), which has been arrived at between the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the FIR is outcome of a sudden quarrel between the residents of the same village. He further contends that simple injuries were caused in the incident except one injury on the head which is allegedly a blow with iron rod. The offence under Section 307 IPC was added after a month of the incident. The injured has fully recovered from the injury. With the intervention of the respectables, the matter has been compromised. Affidavit of the complainant in this regard is annexed as Annexure P-3.

Learned counsel for respondents No.2 and 3 states that the matter has indeed been compromised.

This Court vide order dated 12.07.2021 had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court for recording their statements and send a report as to whether the compromise has been arrived at without any coercion or undue influence. The report of the Sessions Judge, Jind dated 26.07.2021 has been received, wherein it is stated that in pursuance to the order of this Court, the statements of the parties were recorded which indicates that compromise which has been effected is genuine, voluntary and without undue influence.

The FIR is outcome of a sudden quarrel between the residents of the same village. Simple injuries were caused in the incident except one injury on the head which is allegedly a blow with iron rod. The offence under Section 307 IPC was added after a month of the incident. The injured has fully recovered from the injury. With the intervention of the respectables, the matter has now been compromised. In view of the law laid down by the Supreme Court in the case of **Narinder Singh vs. State of Punjab 2014 (6) SCC 466**, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the criminal proceedings. Therefore, the petition is allowed and FIR No.41 dated 12.02.2021 under Sections 148, 149, 323, 341, 506 IPC (Sections 307 and 325 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station City Safidon, District Jind, and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

August 20, 2021
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No