

105-11821

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.11821 of 2021
Date of Decision:07.07.2021

Gaurav Kashyap

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: - Mr.Satish Chaudhary, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

LISA GILL. J.

This matter is being taken up for hearing through video conferencing due to the outbreak of pandemic, COVID-19.

Relief claimed is against the Haryana State Co-operative Agriculture and Rural Development Bank Limited (Respondent No.3) and the Faridabad District Primary Co-operative Agriculture and Rural Development Bank Limited, Faridabad (Respondent No.4).

Admittedly, writ petition is not maintainable for claiming relief against the said respondents. Reference in this regard can gainfully be made to Division Bench judgments of this Court in “*B.K. Bali Vs. The Punjab State*

Cooperative Agricultural Development Bank and others” 1990 ISJ (Banking) 507 and “Ram Kumar Vs. The Registrar, Cooperative Societies, Haryana, Panchkula and others” 2009 (2) RCR (Civil) 601.

Faced with the above, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to withdraw this petition with liberty to avail the remedy/remedies, as may be available to him, in accordance with law.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as aforesaid.

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE

07.07.2021

Vivek

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No