

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

221

CWP-11816-2021

Date of Decision : 27.10.2021

Bhartu @ Bharat Singh

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

--Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIVEK PURI**

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Ms. Palika Monga, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate and
Mr. Rajesh K.Sheoran, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 4.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J.

Petitioner is aggrieved of the order dated 24.09.2020 (Annexure P-2) whereby his claim for allotment of a plot under the oustee's category has been rejected on the ground that he was not the owner of the land at the time of issuance of notification under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act.

Mr. Deepak Sabherwal learned counsel representing the respondent-Haryana Shehri Vikas Pradhikaran adverts to the contents of para 3 of the reply wherein it has been averred that all the cases of oustees claim against advertisement dated 01.11.2018 in the entire State would be re-examined and scrutinizing would be done qua individual applicants.

Mr. Sabherwal takes a positive stand that in the light of such decision towards re-consideration, the impugned order of rejection does not survive.

He further submits that in case the petitioner applies afresh online on the web portal of the Pradhikaran which would be opened within a period of 15 days from today, the claim of the petitioner would be considered afresh for allotment under the oustee's category and a final decision in such regard would be taken within a period of three months thereafter by passing a reasoned and speaking order.

In view of the above, the writ petition is rendered infructuous and is disposed of .

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

27.10.2021
anju

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |