

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.20613 of 2020

Date of Decision:20.12.2021

Ravi @ Lala

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Rakesh Nehra, Sr. Advocate with
Ms. Bindu Tanwar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG, Haryana.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

This is a petition that has been filed for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No.22 dated 02.02.2019 registered under Sections 201, 302, 120-B of the IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act at Police Station Salhawas, District Jhajjar.

Learned Senior counsel assisted by Ms. Bindu Tanwar, Advocate would contend that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the said FIR and is in custody since 03.06.2019. It is argued that there is no eye witness to the alleged murder that is stated to have taken place on 02.02.2019. It is further argued that one Sahil was arrested after a period of one month and on his disclosure statement, the petitioner herein has been nominated as the person, who had helped to dispose of the dead body. It is stated that in the disclosure statement, there is no allegation against the petitioner that he had pulled the trigger which resulted in the death of the

deceased-Deepak. It is further stated that there were other co-accused namely Sunil @ Sind @ Sushil, Janak s/o Mahender and Deepak @ Mota, who have been nominated as persons, who pulled the trigger and now been allowed bail by the Additional Sessions Judge, Jhajjar. This Court by its order dated 02.12.2021 had directed that charges be framed which have been done on 17.12.2021. It is submitted that matter has been investigated and challan stands presented and trial is likely to take some time to conclude, therefore, prays for grant of regular bail to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State would oppose grant of regular bail to the petitioner that the petitioner has been nominated as an accused under the said FIR, however, he does not dispute the fact that the role attributed to the petitioner even in the disclosure statement is regarding disposal of the dead body. It is submitted that co-accused who had been attributed the role of cleaning up the place of occurrence have also been allowed regular bail by the Court below.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties. Petitioner has been arrayed as an accused on the disclosure statement given by Sahil co-accused and has not been attributed the role of having pulled the trigger and thereby killing the deceased. Co-accused have already been granted bail by the Court below. The matter has been investigated and challan stands presented and the trial is likely to take some time to conclude. Therefore, no useful purpose would be served in keeping the petitioner behind bars any longer. The instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on his execution of personal/surety bonds of Rs.2 lakhs each to the satisfaction of concerned trial court/Duty Magistrate.

However, any observation made herein shall not be construed

to be an expression of opinion on merits of the case.

December 20, 2021
P.Bhatt

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No