

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Criminal Misc. No.M-31042 of 2021(O&M)

Date of Decision: December 20, 2021

Parveen @ Monu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

--

Present: - Mr.Lalit Kumar Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Pawan Longia, DAG, Haryana.

-

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU, J.

The petitioner has filed this petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.58 dated 03.02.2018 under Sections 148, 149, 302, 34 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act (Sections 148, 149 and 216 IPC deleted during investigation and Section 34 and 120-B added), Police Station Shivaji Colony, District Rohtak.

The allegations against the petitioner as made by the complainant Mukesh Kumar are that his son Rocky and Shahsi s/o Ram Kumar and Rahul son of Raju Bhagat had a fight over verbal abuses given to each other. The matter was amicably resolved. However, Shahsi nursed grudge against Rocky. On 02.02.2018 at about 5.00/5.30 P.M. Shahsi along with 3-4 other boys who were aged about 22 years, attacked Rocky with knife. Rocky was inflicted multiple injuries on his stomach, chest and neck.

He succumbed to the injuries during treatment.

Ld. counsel for the petitioner states that the trial is proceeding at a snail's pace. The petitioner is behind bars since 08.02.2018.

Ld. Deputy Advocate General, Haryana while opposing the petition, states that the prosecution witnesses are being examined and the next date fixed before the Trial Court is 04.01.2022. Out of 21 prosecution witnesses 13 witnesses, including the complainant Mukesh (father of the deceased) have already been examined. The complainant identified the petitioner before the Trial Court and testified that he was one among the assailants, who attacked his son.

In view of the above, particularly the progress of the trial as also the fact that complainant PW1 Mukesh Kumar has specifically named and identified the petitioner as being one of the persons who inflicted injuries to his son, who succumbed to those injuries, this petition deserves to be dismissed. Ordered accordingly.

However, nothing stated hereinabove shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the matter.

December 20, 2021

**(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE**

gian

Whether Speaking / Reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	Yes / No