

CRWP No. 6081-2021

Date of Decision: 25.10.2021

Sinder Kaur

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Sumeet Puri, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Bhavna Gupta, D.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Ishant Thakur, Advocate, for
Mr. L.S. Sidhu, Advocate,
for respondents no. 4 to 8.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Case heard via video conferencing.

This criminal writ petition has been filed by the petitioner under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for protection of her life and liberty at the hands of respondents no. 4 to 8.

On 05.07.2021, the following order had been passed by this court:-

*“Case heard via video conferencing.**Notice of motion, returnable on
16.08.2021.**The Senior Superintendent of Police,
Mansa, is directed to file his own affidavit in reply to
the petition.**In the meanwhile, it shall be ensured
that the petitioner, unless she is an accused in any*

225CRWP No. 6081-2021-2-

complaint, is not summoned by the police time and again, the allegation being that a DSP is summoning her time and again. If she has to be called for any kind of questioning, it shall be only by due procedure of law as prescribed in the Code of Criminal Procedure, by issuing proper notices etc. to her, obviously keeping in view the fact that she is a female.

If the affidavit of the SSP is not filed at least one week before the next date of hearing, he shall remain present in court on the next date of hearing itself via video conference.”

A reply has been filed by the Senior Superintendent of Police, Mansa, dated 08.08.2021, which is ordered to be taken on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as the petitioners' grievance has been redressed, she no longer wishes to pursue this petition.

Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

October 25, 2021
nitin

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes
No.