

115

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-6200-2021

Date of decision-07.07.2021

Harpreet Kaur

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Kuljit Singh Bal, Advocate for the petitioner.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

The petitioner has filed this writ petition under Article 226 Constitution of India read with Section 482 Cr.P.C for grant of protection to life and liberty of under trial prison, Gaurav Singh @ Golu @ Sawan, who is confined in High Security Jail, Nabha District Patiala. Further, it has been prayed that high level enquiry be conducted against respondent nos.3 to 9.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that the son of petitioner is presently confined in High Security Jail, Nabha, District Patiala, who is being tortured by keeping him in isolation cell. He has submitted that on 21.06.2020, petitioner's son was tortured and was asked to pay a sum of Rs.2,40,000/-, but the petitioner somehow arranged only Rs.1,20,000/- and paid to respondent No.5 on 25.06.2020. He submits that the jail officials are asking for the

remaining amount or otherwise her son will be implicated falsely in other cases also. He has prayed for protection of life and liberty of under-trial Gaurav Singh @ Golu @ Sawan as well as for an inquiry against respondent Nos.3 to 9 for the alleged demand of bribe from the petitioner.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court finds that Gaurav Singh @ Golu @ Sawan is involved in case FIR No.125 dated 26.07.2016 registered under Sections 302, 307, 148 and 149 IPC (Sections 307 was deleted and Sections 450, 380, 411 IPC were added) and Sections 25 Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Sadar, Amritsar, District Amritsar and in another FIR No.33 dated 18.06.2016 registered under Sections 354, 341, 379, 506, 148 and 149 IPC at Police Station Division D-Police, Commissionerate, Amritsar. Apart from these two cases, he is also involved in the two other cases for commission of offence under the Prisons Act, 1894.

The case set up by the petitioner is that a sum of Rs.1,20,000/- was paid by her on 25.06.2020, but the present petition has been filed after a long delay of more than a year without offering any explanation. Apart from it, the averments in para 12 of the writ petition indicates that though the petitioner is in possession of material regarding demand and acceptance of bribe by the jail officials, however, the same is not attached with the present petition.

Apparently, the petition is founded on bald averments and

is not supported by any convincing material, therefore, this Court is not inclined to exercise the extra ordinary writ jurisdiction under Article 226 Constitution of India.

Dismissed.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

07.07.2021

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No