**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No.6025 of 2021 (O&M)
Date of decision: 01.07.2021

Veerpal Sharma and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN**

Present: Mr. Vikram Jeet Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

**H.S. MADAAN, J. (Oral)**

Case taken up through video conferencing.

It is stated that petitioner No.1– Veerpal Sharma, aged about 21 years has got married with petitioner No.2 – Twinkle Sharma, aged about 22 years against wishes of her family members, arrayed as respondents No.4 to 7, who are threatening to interfere in their marital life, as such to seek protection in that regard, they have approached this Court by way of filing the present petition.

It is further stated that the petitioners had submitted a written representation to respondent No.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala, dated 30.06.2021 seeking protection, copy of the same being Annexure P-7 without evoking any response so far.

Notice of motion to respondent -State.

Mr. J.S. Ghuman, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of official respondents.

Heard.

SUMIT KUMAR
2021.07.01 12:57
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

The petition is disposed of directing respondent No.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala, to look into the representation Annexure P-7, assess threat perception to the petitioners and if he finds that some action in the matter is warranted, then the needful be done in accordance with law.

However, this order shall not validate the marriage between the petitioners and shall not have any effect on any civil or criminal action to which the petitioners may be found liable, under the law.

01.07.2021 **(H.S. MADAAN)**
*sumit.k* **JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes No
Whether Reportable : Yes No

SUMIT KUMAR
2021.07.01 12:57
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document