

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

118

CRWP-6042-2021

Date of decision : 02.07.2021.

Neelam and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of UT, Chandigarh and others

.. Respondents

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Katoch, Advocate for the petitioners.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioners are seeking a direction to the official respondents to protect their life and liberty as they have been threatened by the private respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners are in a live-in-relationship and they have an apprehension that the private respondents might cause physical harm to them. He has drawn my attention to the representation dated 28.06.2021 (Annexure P-3) sent by the petitioners to Senior Superintendent of Police, UT, Chandigarh-respondent No.2.

Issue notice to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Prateek Gupta, Panel Counsel for UT, Chandigarh, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case and keeping in view the limited prayer of the petitioner, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, UT, Chandigarh to look into the representation dated 28.06.2021 (Annexure P-3)

and take steps, if necessary, in accordance with law to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners.

However, it is clarified that this order shall not be taken to validate the relationship of the petitioners or protect them from any criminal proceedings instituted in accordance with law.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

July 02, 2021
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No