

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.228

CRM-M-25145-2021

Date of decision: 15.11.2021

Davender Singh @ Dev

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Sanchit Punia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anmol Malik, DAG, Haryana.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for the grant of regular bail in FIR No.654 dated 03.09.2020, registered under Sections 323, 324, 307, 34, 120-B IPC and Sections 25, 54 and 59 of the Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station HTM Hisar, District Hisar.

The petitioner is being prosecuted for having allegedly inflicted knife blows on the left side of the back of the complainant.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner, who is a 20 years old young boy, has been falsely implicated in this case; the petitioner is in custody since 05.09.2020; the petitioner has no criminal antecedents; not only the investigation in this case is complete but also that the complainant/injured stands examined before the Trial Court and therefore, the petitioner is not in a position to either influence the investigation or the complainant/injured and that since 08 prosecution witnesses still remain to be examined, the petitioner's trial will take a long time to conclude.

Learned State counsel opposes the grant of bail to the petitioner on the ground that the petitioner inflicted knife blows on the left side of the back of the complainant.

The petitioner, who is a young boy aged 20 years, has no criminal antecedents; the petitioner is in custody since 05.09.2020; the

complainant/injured already stands examined before the Trial Court and that since 08 prosecution witnesses still remain to be examined, the petitioner's trial is likely to take a long time to conclude.

In view of the above, the present case is considered to be a fit one in which the petitioner be directed to be released on regular bail. Resultantly, subject to the satisfaction of the CJM/Duty Magistrate, Hisar, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail.

It is clarified that the above observations have been made only for the limited purpose of deciding the present regular bail application and the same would not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

November 15, 2021
Jyoti 1

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No