

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-10582 of 2020 (O&M)

Date of decision: 05.10.2021

Gurpreet Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Kaundal, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Rajan Bansal, Advocate for respondent No.3.

H.S. MADAAN, J. (Oral)

Case taken up through video conferencing.

Again there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

Similar was the position on the last two successive dates of hearing. It comes out that the petitioner is not interested in further prosecution of this writ petition.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from Sh. Khazaan Singh, Jr. Assistant from the office of Tehsildar, Jaitu has stated that officials from that office had gone to the spot to deliver the possession of the house to respondent No.3 but the house was found to be locked and people living in the vicinity of the house informed that petitioner had gone abroad after locking the house, therefore, possession could not be delivered to respondent No.3.

Under the circumstances, the instant writ petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

It is directed that on respondent No.3 approaching the office of Tehsildar, Jaitu and local police administration, the possession of the house in question be got delivered to him in execution of the order passed on 22.11.2019 (Annexure P-1) by the District Magistrate (Competent Officer under the Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizen Act) 2007, Faridkot.

05.10.2021

sumit.k

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No