

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

118

CRM-M-25421-2021

Date of Decision : July 07, 2021

SARWAR ALIAS SARVAR

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present : Mr. Nafees Ahmad Khan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Singh Panwar, DAG, Haryana.

Through Video Conferencing

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI. J. (Oral)

The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that he has prayed for quashing of the FIR on the basis of compromise.

However, learned State counsel has pointed out from Annexure P-3 that infact compromise has been purportedly affected between the complainant and the petitioner whereas as per the FIR beatings were given even to the wife and son of the complainant but they are not made party to the compromise. He also referred to Annexure P-2 which is the judgment of the learned trial Court whereby the petitioner has been convicted to say that even there was an MLR of the wife of the complainant and, therefore, no compromise can be effected without the injured persons.

The learned counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of the present petition.

The prayer of the learned counsel for the petitioner is accepted.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

However, it is made clear that this will not debar the petitioner to file a fresh petition in case so required or desired in accordance with law only.

July 07, 2021
ajay-1

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No