

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-11596-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision : 02.07.2021

Sushil Kumar Sharma

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. S.S. Rangi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Articles 226 & 227 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of a writ in the nature of Certiorari quashing order dated 30.04.2021 issued on 04.05.2021 (P-6) and order dated 07.04.2021 (P-4), issued by respondent No.2, whereby, the petitioner, a physically challenged person, has been transferred in contravention of the policy dated 23.04.2018 (P-2) despite the fact that he is due for retirement on 28.02.2022; with a further prayer for directing the respondents to post the petitioner in the periphery of his residential premises.

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, states that his client would be satisfied in case representation dated 26.04.2021 (P-7) is considered and decided by passing a speaking order expeditiously.

Prayer seems to be justified.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Lavanya Paul, AAG, Punjab, who is present through video-conference, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. She does not oppose the innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioner.

In view of the consensus arrived at between both sides, but without going into the merits of the controversy, this writ petition is disposed off with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the aforesaid representation dated 26.04.2021 (P-7) in accordance with law by passing a speaking order preferably within a period of 02 (two) weeks from today.

02.07.2021
atulsethi

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes No

Whether Reportable : Yes No