

214

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-24726-2021
Date of decision-19.08.2021**

SURINDER KUMAR**...Petitioner**

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB**...Respondent****CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ**

Present: Mr. Naveen Upadhyay, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramandeep Singh Sandhu, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.47 dated 09.06.2018 under Section 420 Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station City Banga, SBS Nagar (Punjab). The petitioner apprehended his arrest at the hands of Police, in the above FIR.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has invited the attention of the Court to the order dated 01.07.2021, whereby, while issuing notice of motion to respondent-State, the interim protection was extended to the petitioner. The said order reads as under:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the concession of pre-arrest bail was granted to the petitioner by Court of Sessions vide order dated 30.11.2018 and thereafter, he joined the investigation. According to him, later on he settled the matter with the complainant, but

he was not aware of the filing of the final report and the trial Court has issued warrants of arrest against him.

Notice of motion for 19.08.2021.

Mr. Ramandeep Singh Sandhu, Sr.DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and he on instructions from ASI Balwinder Singh does not dispute this fact that after grant of the concession of anticipatory bail, the petitioner had joined the investigation and the final report was filed on 28.05.2019. He submits that the case is now fixed before the trial Court on 18.08.2021.

Let the petitioner submit himself before the trial Court within a period of 7 days from today and upon his doing so, he shall be admitted on interim regular bail subject to his furnishing requisite bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that pursuant to the order dated 01.07.2021, the petitioner submitted himself before the trial Court, who was admitted on interim regular bail.

Learned State counsel does not dispute this fact that the petitioner submitted himself within time and was admitted on regular bail. He on instructions from ASI Ram Lal further states that the charges have also been framed and the trial is yet to commence.

Considering the above, the petition is allowed and it is ordered that the petitioner shall remain on regular bail and on the same bail bonds/surety bonds furnished by him before the trial Court.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

19.08.2021

Vipin kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No