

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-25020-2021 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 26.10.2021

Amit Munjal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: Mr. Deepak Thapar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Deepshikha Chauhan, A.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Ashutosh Hoshiarpuri, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No.135 dated 27.09.2018 under Sections 323, 34, 354-A, 498-A and 506 of IPC registered at Police Station Women, Rohtak and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise arrived at between the parties.
2. The aforesaid FIR has been registered on the statement of complainant on the allegations of harassment and demand of dowry. Now with the intervention of respectable persons, the matter has been amicably compromised between the parties and they have resolved their disputes and differences.

3. Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In pursuance of the direction, a report has been received from Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Rohtak stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure or coercion and with their own free will.

4. Learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana on instructions from the Investigating Officer and learned counsel for the complainant/respondent No.2 admit the factum of compromise and the counsel for the respondent-State submits in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, the State would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR, in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record.

6. In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

7. Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in 'Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and

another, (2014) 6 SCC 466', this petition is allowed and FIR No.135 dated 27.09.2018 under Sections 323, 34, 354-A, 498-A and 506 of IPC registered at Police Station Women, Rohtak and and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed *qua* petitioner.

26.10.2021
ps-I

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No