

112

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No. 6004 of 2021
Date of Decision: 07.07.2021

Balvir Kaur

-Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

-Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Amit Dhawan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video-conferencing.

Petitioner seeks issuance of necessary directions to official respondents No.1 to 3 to protect her life and personal liberty from being invaded at the hands of private respondents No.4 and 5.

Respondent No.4 is the husband of the petitioner whereas respondent No.5 is father-in-law.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that marriage of the petitioner was solemnized with respondent No.4 on 21.10.2001 according to Anand Karaj. Three daughters took birth out of this wedlock. Respondent No.4 and his family

members have harassed the petitioner to the maximum. Respondent No.4 is stated to be man of many vices and used to give severe beatings to the petitioner. He gave beatings to the petitioner on 04.04.2018 and also demanded an amount of Rs.50,000/- from the petitioner to bring the same from her parents. Many a times, Panchayat was convened, but of no use. Petitioner was again given beatings on 04.02.2019 and was turned out from the house by keeping all the three minor daughters with him. Petitioner was compelled to file petition under Section 13-B of Hindu Marriage Act for dissolution of marriage by way of decree of divorce. Respondent No.4 has already appeared in the Court.

Precisely in the context of harassment meted to the petitioner, she has already filed a representation dated 15.05.2021 before respondent No.2.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Amar Ashok Pathak, Addl. A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

In view of nature of order which this Court proposes to pass, there is no necessity of calling upon any response from the respondents, as no order prejudicial to the interest of any party is being passed.

This petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to have a fair look on the representation of the petitioner dated 15.05.2021 without being influenced by any statement of fact, preferably within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

07.07.2021

Jyoti Sharma

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No