

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH

SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA
2021/07/16 14:31
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CRM-M-25221-2021

Date of decision : 13.7.2021

Anil Kumar

..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent (s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

Present: Mr. J.S. Jaidka, Advocate
for petitioner.

Mr. Sarabjit Singh Cheema, AAG Punjab.

(Through Video Conferencing)

-.-

-.-

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU, J.

This is a petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 24 dated 25.2.2021, registered under Sections 379 B (2), 148, 149 IPC (Section 120 B IPC added later on) at Police Station Dugri, District Ludhiana.

FIR was lodged on the complaint of one Pardeep Singh who stated that on 25.2.2021 he alongwith Gurvinder Singh was going to HDFC Bank, situated in Feroze Gandhi Market, Ludhiana. Complainant and his companion are working in SIS Cash Service Private Limited. They were carrying Rs. 8,70,0000/- for depositing in HDFC Bank. When they reached near Phullanwal Chowk, then a motorcycle came near them. There were 2 young persons on the motorcycle. They pushed the complainant and his companion. The pillion rider threw some chilli powder towards them which fell on the face of companion of complainant. Both of them fell down on the left side. In the meanwhile, 2 more motorcycles came there. On first motorcycle, there were 3 boys and on the other motorcycle, there were 2 boys. They were carrying kirpans and dah. They started beating the complainant and his companion. They tried to snatch the Activa scooter. One of them inflicted blow with dah which hit the fingers of right hand of complainant. Thereafter, they took away the scooter of complainant with cash.

CRM-M-25221-2021

-2-

Learned counsel for petitioner has argued that petitioner is not involved in said incident. Petitioner is working in the same company as complainant. If petitioner was present there, complainant would have identified him and named him in his complaint.

Learned State counsel has referred to supplementary statement of complainant dated 1.3.2021 wherein it is recorded that complainant was shown various clips and photographs. On the basis thereof, he identified accused. In the aforesaid statement, complainant has stated that petitioner was riding motorcycle No. PB10BQ-3996. The person sitting on the pillion was Ravdeep Singh who caused injury to co employee of complainant Gurvinder Singh. Complainant also identified the person driving the other motorcycle i.e. PB10CX-5052.

Thus, the complainant on being shown clips and photographs of incident clearly identified petitioner as the person who was riding one of the motorcycles which were used by accused to snatch cash and Activa scooter of complainant.

In view thereof, no ground for grant of anticipatory bail is made out.

Dismissed.

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE

13.7.2021

sjks

Whether reasoned order : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No