

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

116

CRM-30962-2021 IN/&

CRM-M-19568-2019

Date of Decision:04.10.2021

Nirmal Kaur and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr.Deepak Verma, Advocate

for the petitioner.

Mr.Ramdeep Pratap Singh, DAG Punjab

Mr.Shubhasish Kukreti, Advocate

for respondent No.2

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

CRM-30962-2021

Notice having been issued in this application, seeking advancement of the date of hearing in the accompanying petition, learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Daljit Kumar, submits that there is no other criminal case registered against the petitioners.

That being so, the accompanying petition seeking quashing of the FIR on the basis of a compromise arrived at between the parties, with counsel for respondent No.2 also present, the application seeking advancement of the date of hearing is allowed and hearing in the accompanying petition is advanced to today, itself.

CRM-M-19568-2019

By this petition, the petitioners seek quashing, on the basis of a compromise arrived at between the petitioners and respondent no.2, of FIR No. 0025

alleged commission of offences punishable under Sections 323, 324, 452 and 34 IPC, as also all other subsequent proceedings arising therefrom. A copy of the compromise deed dated 23.04.2019 has been annexed as Annexure P-2 with the petition.

Vide orders of this Court dated 24.07.2019 and 04.03.2021, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court to get their statements recorded, in terms of the compromise.

Pursuant to the aforesaid orders, the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Garhshankar, dated 16.04.2021, is on record, in which it is stated that the complainant-respondent no. 2 and the five accused (petitioners herein) have suffered statements to the effect that the matter has been compromised without any fear or pressure on either of them, with the investigating officer also having recorded a statement before that Court that a total of five accused were arraigned as such in the FIR, and that there is only one complainant/victim, i.e. respondent No.2.

It was also stated before that Court that there are no other proceedings pending between the parties.

It is to be noticed that learned counsel for the complainant-respondent No.2 does not deny the fact that the compromise still subsists between the parties.

That being so, looking at the nature of offences involved, with the matter having been amicably settled between the parties, the petition is allowed and FIR No. 0025 dated 20.03.2019, registered at Police Station Mahilpur, District Hoshiarpur, for the alleged commission of offences punishable under Sections 323, 324, 452 and 34 IPC, along with all proceedings emanating therefrom, is hereby quashed.

04.10.2021

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)

neenu

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No