

208-2

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.24637 of 2021 (O&M)
Decided on: 22.09.2021

Harjeet Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present : Mr. Raman Goklaney, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 72 dated 10.07.2019 under Sections 324, 323, 506 and 34 of the IPC (Section 326 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Mamdot, District Ferozepur, Punjab.

The operative part of the order dated 01.07.2021, vide which interim anticipatory bail has been granted to the petitioner, is reproduced as under:-

“....Counsel for the petitioner, at the very outset, has relied upon the order dated 04.06.2021 passed in CRM-M No.22105 of 2021, vide which the co-accused of the petitioner namely Dula Singh has been granted the concession of interim anticipatory bail. The operative part of the said order, reads as under:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that prior to the registration of the present FIR, the brother of the petitioner, namely Kashmir Singh, has got registered an FIR against the complainant party and as a counter blast to the same, the present FIR has been registered, in which the petitioner and Kashmir Singh have been arrayed as accused.

CASE HEARD THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCING

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that even as per the allegations in the FIR, the petitioner has been attributed a Dang blow on the elbow of the right arm of the victim resulting into an abrasion and the same is a simple injury.

Notice of motion for 22.09.2021.”

Counsel for the petitioner has further submitted that as per the allegations in the FIR, the petitioner is attributed a Kaapa blow on the leg of the victim and this is the injury which has invoked Section 326 IPC. It is further argued that without prejudice to his right of defence, the petitioner is ready to deposit a sum of Rs.20,000/- in the shape of a Demand Draft favouring the victim, on account of the medical expenses.

Notice of motion....”

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that, in pursuance to the order dated 01.07.2021, the petitioner has appeared before the Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation. It is also submitted that the petitioner has handed over a demand draft of Rs.20,000/- favouring the victim towards his medical expenses.

Counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Jatinder Singh, has not disputed the aforesaid fact and submits that the petitioner is no more required for further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 01.07.2021 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

22.09.2021
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No